Module 0: Introducing MITRE ATT&CK[®] for Cyber Threat Intelligence Training

Adam Pennington



APPROVED FOR PUBLIC RELEASE; DISTRIBUTION UNLIMITED. PUBLIC RELEASE CASE NUMBER 23-4342

Lesson 0.1: Introducing ATT&CK® for Cyber Threat Intelligence



Lesson 0.1 Objectives

Review the Training Goals for ATT&CK for Cyber Threat Intelligence

Review the Training Module Overviews

Learn about how ATT&CK can help with Cyber Threat



Training Goals



Why ATT&CK is useful for cyber threat intelligence (CTI)



How to map to ATT&CK from both narrative reporting and raw data



How to store and display ATT&CK-mapped data and what you should consider when doing that



How to perform CTI analysis using ATT&CK-mapped data



How to make defensive recommendations



Training Overview

- Module 0: Introducing ATT&CK for CTI Training
 - Topic introduction and Training Goals
- Module 1: Mapping to ATT&CK from Narrative Reporting
 - Topic introduction
 - Exercise 1: Mapping to ATT&CK from external narrative reporting (Self-administered exercise in the Resources section)
 - Exercise 1 Review
- Module 2: Mapping to ATT&CK from Raw Data
 - Topic introduction
 - Exercise 2: Mapping to ATT&CK from raw data (Self-administered exercise in the Resources section)
 - Exercise 2 Review



Training Overview

- Module 3: Storing and Analyzing ATT&CK-mapped Intelligence
 - Topic introduction
 - Exercise 3: Comparing layers in ATT&CK Navigator (Do it yourself with materials in the Resources section and on https://mitreattack.github.io/attack-navigator/)
 - Going over Exercise 3
- Module 4: Making ATT&CK-mapped Data Actionable with Defensive Recommendations
 - Topic introduction
 - Exercise 4: Making defensive recommendations (Do it yourself with materials on <u>attack.mitre.org/training/cti</u>)
 - Going over Exercise 4 and wrap-up



Threat intelligence is actionable knowledge and insight on adversaries and their malicious activities enabling defenders and their organizations to reduce harm through better security decision-making. -Sergio Caltagirone



Threat Intelligence – How ATT&CK Can Help

• Use knowledge of adversary behaviors to inform defenders

- Structuring threat intelligence with ATT&CK allows us to...
 - Compare behaviors
 - Groups to each other
 - Groups over time
 - Groups to defenses
 - Communicate in a common language



Communicate to Defenders



Communicate Across the Community





Lesson 0.1 Summary

Reviewed the Training Goals for ATT&CK for Cyber Threat Intelligence

Reviewed the Training Module focus areas

Examined how ATT&CK can assist with Cyber Threat Intelligence by offering a common language and structure

ATT&CK for CTI



Next Up:

Module 1: Mapping to ATT&CK from Narrative Reports



End of Module 0



Module 1: Mapping to ATT&CK® from Narrative Reports

Adam Pennington November 2020

Approved for Public Release; Distribution Unlimited. Public Release Case Number 23-4342





Learn to identify behaviors in narrative reporting

Module 1 Objectives



Understand how to translate behaviors into Tactics, Techniques, and Sub-Techniques

Practice mapping narrative reporting to ATT&CK®



Understand analyst and source bias, and learn how to hedge against them







Module 1 Agenda





Lesson 1.1:Challenges, Advantages, and the ATT&CK® Mapping Process



Lesson 1.2: Finding and Researching Behaviors



Lesson 1.3: Translating Behaviors into Tactics



Lesson 1.4: Identifying Techniques and Sub-techniques



Lesson 1.5: Mapping to a Narrative Report



Lesson 1.6: Hedging Your Biases





Lesson 1.1: Challenges, Advantages, and the Process of Mapping to ATT&CK





Lesson 1.1 Objectives

Recognize the prerequisites to ATT&CK mapping

Understand the challenges and advantages to mapping to ATT&CK

Learn the ATT&CK process for mapping to narrative reporting

·))

Understand ATT&CK

You need to know what to look for before you can start mapping • Get Started with ATT&CK

- Complete the ATT&CK Fundamentals training
- Watch an ATT&CK presentation like MITRE ATT&CK: The Play at Home Edition, from Black Hat USA 2019
- Read the Philosophy Paper and items from ATT&CK's Getting Started page
- Read the Tactic descriptions
- Skim the Techniques and Sub-techniques
- Challenge yourself to ongoing learning and discussion
 - Learn a Technique and associated Sub-techniques a week
 - Review Techniques and Sub-techniques with another analyst or a team

Mapping to ATT&CK: Challenges and Advantages



Challenges

- Mapping to ATT&CK requires a shift in thinking
- The volume of ATT&CK techniques & subtechniques can seem overwhelming
- The "technical" detail of some ATT&CK techniques can seem complex

Advantages

- Forces a shift in thinking about behaviors: from indicators
- Allows opportunities to discover new adversary techniques
- Facilitates enhanced learning of the "technical" side







Lesson 1.1 Summary

Reviewed the prerequisites to ATT&CK mapping and the associated resources to get started with ATT&CK

Assessed some of the challenges and corresponding advantages of mapping to ATT&CK

Examined the ATT&CK mapping process for narrative reporting

()

Lesson 1.2: ATT&CK® Mapping Process: Finding and Researching the Behavior





Lesson 1.2 Objectives

Discover how to find behaviors (Step 1)

Learn how to research behaviors (Step 2)

Review narrative reporting for example behaviors



Step 1: Find the Behavior



01

Look for what the adversary or software does during the steps of the compromise

02

Focus on precompromise, initial compromise and postcompromise details

 Identify how the adversary gained initial access and how they moved through the compromise of the victim network/system

03

Look for the "verbs" in the narrative reporting to identify adversary behavior, such as:

- 'used email attachments,'
- 'create scheduled task,' and
- 'installed tools'



© 2024 THE MITRE CORPORATION. ALL RIGHTS RESERVED.

CYBRARY

Step 1: Find the Behavior



Information that may not be useful for ATT&CK mapping are those that don't provide details about adversary behavior, such as:

- Static malware analysis
- Infrastructure registration information
- Stand-alone industry/victim targeting information



Step 1: Find the Behavior



The most interesting PDB string is the "4113.pdb," which appears to reference CVE-2014-4113. This CVE is a local kernel vulnerability that, with successful exploitation, would give any user SYSTEM access on the machine.

The malware component, test.exe, uses the Windows command "cmd.exe" /C whoami" to verify it is running with the elevated privileges of "System" and creates persistence by creating the following scheduled task:

schtasks /create /tn "mysc" /tr C:\Users\Public\test.exe /sc ONLOGG [Tactic] | 2. [Technique/Sub-[Tactic] | 1. [Technique/Sub-technique] technique] When executed, the malware first establishes a SOCKS5 connection to 192.157.198.103 using TCP port 1913. The malware sends the SOCKS5 connection request "05 01 00" and verifies the server response starts with " 00".

https://www.fireeye.com/blog/threat-research/2014/11/operation_doubletap.html



Step 2: Research the Behavior



- Perform additional research on unfamiliar adversary/software behaviors
 - Examine details about network protocols that were used including their OSI layer/capabilities, assigned port number, associated service, and any potential vulnerabilities that can be leveraged by adversaries, such as SMB
 - □ Collaborate within your own organization (defenders/red teamers)
 - □ Leverage external resources
- Understanding core behaviors helps with next steps and enhances analytic skills





Step 2: Research the Behavior





Step 2. Research the Behavior



https://www.speedguide.net/port.php?port=1913





Lesson 1.2 Summary

Learned the guidelines and reviewed tips for finding behaviors

Reviewed the importance of understanding core behaviors and performing additional research on unfamiliar behaviors

Examined research resources and reviewed narrative reporting



Lesson 1.3: ATT&CK® Mapping Process: Translating the Behavior into a Tactic





Lesson 1.3 Objectives

Understand the 14 Tactics and why they matter

Practice identifying a behavior in narrative reporting

Learn how to translate behaviors into Tactics

((**()**)



Step 3. Translate the Behavior into a Tactic

- Consider: what goals is the adversary trying to accomplish?
- There are only 14 options
- for tactics:
 - Reconnaissance
 - Resource Development
 - Initial Access
 - Execution
 - Persistence
 - Privilege Escalation
 - Defense Evasion

- Credential Access
- Discovery
- Lateral Movement
- Collection
- Command and Control
- Exfiltration

- Impact

Step 3. Translate the Behavior into a Tactic

TACTIC	BEHAVIOR
Reconnaissanc e	The adversary is trying to gather information they can use to plan future operations.
Resource Development	The adversary is trying to establish resources they can use to support operations.
Initial Access	Initial Access consists of techniques that use various entry vectors to gain their initial foothold within a network.
Execution	Execution consists of techniques that result in adversary-controlled code running on a local or remote system.
Persistence	Persistence consists of techniques that adversaries use to keep access to systems across restarts, changed credentials, and other interruptions that could cut off their access.


Step 3. Translate the Behavior into a Tactic

TACTIC	BEHAVIOR
Privilege Escalation	Privilege Escalation consists of techniques that adversaries use to gain higher-level permissions on a system or network.
Defense Evasion	Defense Evasion consists of techniques that adversaries use to avoid detection throughout their compromise.
Credential Access	Credential Access consists of techniques for stealing credentials like account names and passwords.
Discovery	Discovery consists of techniques an adversary may use to gain knowledge about the system and internal network.
Lateral Movement	Lateral Movement consists of techniques that adversaries use to enter and control remote systems on a network.



Step 3. Translate the Behavior into a Tactic

TACTIC	BEHAVIOR
Collection	Collection consists of techniques adversaries may use to gather information and the sources information is collected from that are relevant to following through on the adversary's objectives.
Command and Control	Command and Control consists of techniques that adversaries may use to communicate with systems under their control within a victim network.
Exfiltration	Exfiltration consists of techniques that adversaries may use to steal data from your network. Once they've collected data, adversaries often package it to avoid detection while removing it. This can include compression and encryption.
Impact	Impact consists of techniques that adversaries use to disrupt availability or compromise integrity by manipulating business and operational processes.



Step 3. Translate the Behavior into a Tactic

"When executed, the malware first establishes a SOCKS5 connection to 192.157.198.103 using TCP port 1913. ... Once the connection to the server is established, the malware expects a message containing at least three bytes from the server. These first three bytes are the command identifier. The following commands are supported by the malware ... "

□ A connection in order to command the malware to do something → Command and Control





Lesson 1.3 Summary

Examined the types of behaviors associated with the 14 Tactics

Reviewed how to link behaviors to adversary goals

Translated a behavior into the corresponding Tactic





Lesson 1.4: ATT&CK® Mapping Process: Identifying Techniques or Sub-techniques





Lesson 1.4 Objectives

Learn the key strategies for identifying Techniques and Sub-techniques

Review strategy examples and external resources to use for research

Identify Techniques and Sub-techniques in narrative reporting (Step 4)



Identifying the technique or sub-technique is often the most challenging step

- □ Techniques and subs are not always easy to identify
- Some techniques help facilitate more than one tactic, and this is reflected throughout ATT&CK
 - For example, Hijack Execution Flow: DLL Side-Loading [T1574.002] falls under Persistence, Privilege Escalation, Defense Evasion



- Not every behavior is necessarily a technique or sub-technique
 Not all adversary behaviors can or should be used as a basis for alerting or providing data to an analyst not every behavior that can be mapped is malicious
 - Context is key: assessing the circumstances around the behavior can help identify if its malicious in nature (e.g., tools used by attackers that are not explicitly malicious, but their hostile usage is)

□ Not all possible techniques are documented, nor will they ever be





Key Strategies

Review the list of Techniques and Sub-techniques for the Tactic you previously identified

Search

attack.mitre.org

- Use the
 - search bar
 - Leverage
 "CTRL + F

Assess a few Group and Software pages to understand how ATT&CK performs technique analysis



Strategy 1

WORLD

Review the list of Techniques and Sub-techniques for the Tactic you previously identified

fi R th

When figuring out what Sub-techniques apply to behaviors, leverage the same key strategies used for finding Techniques Review the behavior for the associated Tactic, assess the corresponding list of Techniques and Sub-

techniques, or work through key word searches/procedure level details

Level of Report Detail:

- Sometimes it makes more sense to map the Technique first before moving to Sub-techniques
- Other times, based on the level of detail in the report, it might be simpler to identify the Sub-technique

© 2024 THE MITRE CORP**URATION COLLECTION** RESERVED.

Strategy 2

Search the ATT&CK site

 Key Words
 Try key words searches in the search bar
 CRTL + F
 Use "CRTL + F" keyword searches across the list of techniques
 Details and Commands Strings
 Try "procedure"-level detail
 Try specific command strings



Strategy 3

Assess a few "Techniques Used" on the Group and Software pages to review how ATT&CK performs technique analysis

Techniques Used

ATT&CK[®] Navigator Layers -

Domain	ID		Name	Use			
Enterprise	T1568	.003	Dynamic Resolution: DNS Calculation	APT12 has used multiple variants of DNS Calculation including multiplying the first two octets of an IP address and adding the third octet to that value in order to get a resulting command and control port. ^[1]			
Enterprise	T1203		Exploitation for Client Execution	APT12 has exploited multiple vulnerabilities for execution, including Microsoft Office vulnerabilities (CVE-2009-3129, CVE-2012-0158) and vulnerabilities in Adobe Reader and Flash (CVE-2009-4324, CVE-2009-0927, CVE-2011-0609, CVE-2011-0611). ^{[2][3]}			
Enterprise	T1566	.001	Phishing: Spearphishing Attachment	APT12 has sent emails with malicious Microsoft Office documents and PDFs attached. ^{[2][3]}			
Enterprise	T1204	.002	User Execution: Malicious File	APT12 has attempted to get victims to open malicious Microsoft Word and PDF attachment sent via spearphishing. ^{[2][3]}			
Enterprise	T1102	.002	Web Service: Bidirectional Communication	APT12 has used blogs and WordPress for C2 infrastructure. ^[1]			

Example: Keyword Search: Search Bar

- Take adversary behaviors such as:
 - (1) 'used email attachments,'
 - \square (2) 'create scheduled task,' and
 - □ (3) 'installed tools'
- Use the ATT&CK search bar:
 - □ (1) Phishing: Spearphishing Attachment, Sub-technique T1566.001
 - (2) Scheduled Task/Job, T1053 (potential Sub-technique T1053.005)
 - (3) Ingress Tool Transfer, T1105



Example: Keyword Search: Search Bar

"the malware first establishes a SOCKS5 connection"

SOCKS

Socksbot, Software S0273

Socksbot Socksbot is a backdoor that abuses Socket Secure (SOCKS) proxies 2018 Last Modified: 30 March 2020 Versio...

Non-Application Layer Protocol, Technique T1095 - Enterprise

... er protocols, such as the Internet Control Message Protocol (ICMP), transpo such as Socket Secure (SOCKS), as well as redirected/tunneled protocols, suc Because ICMP is part of the Internet Protocol Suite, it is require...

Proxy, Technique T1090 - Enterprise

... e Version Procedure Examples Name Description APT41 APT41 used a tool body has the ability to use a reverse SOCKS proxy module.[27] AuditCred Audit proxy server between the victim and C2 server.[10] Blue Mockingbird Blue Moc

Wizard Spider, TEMP.MixMaster, Grim Spider, Group G0102

... liver Microsoft documents containing macros to download either Emotet, Bc NewBCtestnDll64 as a reverse SOCKS proxy.[2] Enterprise T1021 .001 Remote movement.[2] Enterprise T1018 Remote System Discovery Wizard Spider has ι

Command and Control, Tactic TA0011 - Enterprise ... er protocols, such as the Internet Control Message Protocol (ICMP), transpo

Non-Application Layer Protocol

Adversaries may use a non-application layer protocol for communication between host and C2 server or among infected hosts within a network. The list of possible protocols is extensive.^[1] Specific examples include use of network layer protocols, such as the Internet Control Message Protocol (ICMP), transport layer protocols, such as the User Datagram Protocol (UDP), session layer protocols, such as Socket Secure (SOCKS), as well as redirected/tunneled protocols, such as Serial over LAN (SOL).

ICMP communication between hosts is one example. Because ICMP is part of the Internet Protocol Suite, it is required to be implemented by all IP-compatible hosts; ^[2] however, it is not as commonly monitored as other Internet Protocols such as TCP or UDP and may be used by adversaries to hide communications.

BUBBLEWRAP can communicate using SOCKS.^[4]

Example: Keyword Search: CRTL + F

"establishes a SOCKS5 connection to 192.157.198.103 using TCP port 1913"







Knowledge Check: What Techniques/Sub-techniques Can You Identify?

The most interesting PD Privilege Escalation [3] Exploitation for Privilege Escalation (T1068)] 3. This CVE is a local kernel vul Execution [4. Command and Scripting Interpreter: Windows Command Shell (T1059.003) hachine.

The malware component, test.exe, uses the **Discovery | 5. System Owner/User Discovery (T1033)** y it is running with the elevated privileges of "System" and **Persistence - 16. Scheduled Task/Job: Scheduled Task (T1053.005)**

When execute **Command and Control 11 Non-Application Layer Protocol (T1095)** 103 using <u>TCP port 1913</u>. The malware sends the SOCKS5 connection request "05 01 00" and verifies the server response starts with "05 00".





Lesson 1.4 Summary

Learned the key strategies for identifying Techniques and Sub-techniques

Reviewed applying the strategies on the ATT&CK site and leveraging external resources to use for research

Practiced Identifying Techniques and Sub-techniques in narrative reporting



Lesson 1.5: Mapping to a Narrative Report



MITRE ATT&CK Defender

Lesson 1.5 Objectives

Practice identifying the Tactics, Techniques and Sub-techniques in a Narrative Report

Compare your results to another analyst's outcomes

Review the exercise results

(<)

Exercise 1: Mapping to a Narrative Report

- Analyze a threat report using the ATT&CK® mapping process to find the techniques and sub-techniques
 21 highlighted techniques and sub-techniques in the Cybereason Cobalt Kitty report
- 1. Review the Cobalt Kitty report under the Resource Section
 - Choose "highlights only" or "tactic hints"
- 2. Use the PDF or a text document/piece of paper to record your results
- 3. Write down the ATT&CK tactic and technique or sub-technique you think applies to each behavior
- Remember:
 - Do search bar and keyword searches of the ATT&CK website: <u>https://attack.mitre.org</u>
 - □ You don't have to be perfect!
 - □ Use this as a chance to dive into ATT&CK

We suggest giving yourself 30 minutes for this exercise.

Exercise 1 Optional Bonus Step: Comparing Your Results

- Step 5 of the ATT&CK mapping process: Compare your results to other analysts
- Collaboration helps hedge against analyst biases
- Compare what you each had for each technique answer
 - □ Discuss where there are differences how did you arrive at your conclusions?
 - □ It's okay to disagree!
- Please pause. We suggest giving yourself 10 minutes for this part of the exercise. If you do not have other analysts to discuss your answers with, you may advance to the next portion.



Reviewing the Exercise: Cybereason Report

Consider:

What were the *easiest* & *hardest* techniques or subtechniques to identify?



How did you identify each technique or sub? 0

What challenges did you have? How did you address them?



1. Two types of payloads were found in the spear-phishing email... link to a malicious site

- □ Initial Access Phishing: Spearphishing Link (T1566.002)
- 2. Two types of payloads were found in the spear-phishing emails ... Word documents
 - □ Initial Access Phishing: Spearphishing Attachment (T1566.001)

3. Two types of payloads were found in the spear-phishing emails ... Word documents with malicious macros

- Defense Evasion/Execution Command Scripting Interpreter: Visual Basic (T1059.005)
- 4. Two types of payloads were found in the spear-phishing emails



□ Execution – User Execution: Malicious Link (T1204.001) © 2024 THE MITRE CORPORATION. ALL RIGHTS RESERVED.



□ Execution – Command and Scripting Interpreter: Windows Command Shell (T1059.003)

6. The two scheduled tasks are created on infected Windows

Execution/Persistence - Scheduled Task/Job: Scheduled Task (T1053.005)

7. schtasks /create /sc MINUTE /tn "Windows Error Reporting" /tr "mshta.exe about:'<script language=\"vbscript\"...

Execution/Defense Evasion – Signed Binary Proxy Execution: Mshta (T1218.005)

8. That downloads and executes an additional payload from the same server Command and Control – Ingress Tool Transfer(T1105)





- □ Execution Command and Scripting Interpreter: PowerShell (T1059.001)
- 10. it will pass an obfuscated and XOR'ed PowerShell payload to cmd.exe
 - Defense Evasion Obfuscated Files or Information (T1027)
- 11. The attackers used trivial but effective persistence techniques .. Those techniques consist of: Windows Registry Autorun
 - □ Persistence Boot or Logon Autostart Execution: Registry Run Keys/Startup Folder (T1547.001)
- 12. the attackers used NTFS Alternate Data Stream to hide their payloads
 - □ Defense Evasion NTFS File Attributes (T1096)

https://cybr.ly/cobaltkitty

13 & 14. The attackers created and/or modified Windows Services

- □ Persistence System Services: Service Execution (T1569.002)
- □ Persistence Create or Modify System Process: Windows Service (T1543.003)

15 & 16. The attackers used a malicious Outlook backdoor macro ... edited a specific registry value to create persistence

- □ Persistence Office Application Startup (T1137)
- □ Defense Evasion Modify Registry (T1112)

17. The attackers used different techniques and protocols to communicate with the C&C servers ... HTTP

□ Command and Control - Application Layer Protocol: Web Protocols (T1071.001)



18 & 19. The attackers downloaded COM scriptlets using regsvr32.exe

- □ Command and Control Ingress Tool Transfer (T1105)
- □ Execution Signed Binary Proxy Execution: Regsvr32 (T1218.010)

20. binary was renamed "kb-10233.exe", masquerading as a Windows update

- Defense Evasion Masquerading: Match Legitimate Name or Location (T1036.005)
- 21. network scanning against entire ranges...looking for open ports...

Discovery - Network Service Scanning (T1046)



Optional Exercise 2: Bonus Report

 If you'd like more practice mapping narrative reporting to ATT&CK, work through the FireEye APT39 report using the same process.

□ The PDF is available in the Resource section under Exercise 2.

Answers are provided in a separate PDF.



Lesson 1.5 Summary

Practiced identifying the Tactics, Techniques and Sub-techniques in a Narrative Report

Reviewed the importance of comparing your results to another analyst's outcomes

Evaluated the exercise results





MITRE ATT&CK Defender



Lesson 1.6: Hedging Your Biases





Lesson 1.6 Objectives

Review the importance of collaboratively assessing ATT&CK® mappings

Learn about analyst and source biases and ways to hedge against them





Step 5. Compare Your Results

Comparing your results to other analysts helps hedge against analyst biases





Be consistent in how you map and apply techniques: If other analysts can't review your mappings, ensure you're consistent in how you think of and apply a technique.

Skipping Steps in the Mapping Process

- Once you're experienced with ATT&CK mapping you maybe able to skip steps
 - 1. Find the behavior
 - 2. Research the behavior
 - 3. Translate the behavior into a tactic
 - 4. Identify the applicable technique or sub-technique
 - 5. Compare your results to other analysts

 But this increases your bias, and it won't work every time





Biases in ATT&CK Mapped Data

It is critical to recognize our biases in CTI

Two key types of bias in technique examples in ATT&CK
 Bias introduced by us as consumers

Bias inherent in the sources we use

 Understanding these biases is the crucial first step in effectively leveraging this data



Consumer Biases: Source


Consumer Biases: Novelty & Availability

Novelty Bias

Repetitive behaviors vs. Exciting Emerging Threats

FUZZYDUCK APT1337 using using **Transmitted Data** PowerShell Manipulation!!!

Availability Bias

Techniques we remember vs. techniques we're not as familiar with



Source Biases: Availability and Visibility

Availability Bias

Reporting and Attribution skewed towards the incident response data/specific behaviors each vendor sees regularly

Visibility Bias

Data aligned with sensors vs all activity



Source Biases: Victim and Novelty

Victim Bias

Report development impacted by the interest the victim/ target engenders, and how open they are to reporting

Novelty Bias

Marketing and Level of Impact can motivate what type of reports are produced





Strategies for Hedging Biases

01: Collaborate

02: Adjust & Calibrate

03: Diverse Sources

04: Prioritize the Known



Collaborate and identify ways to mitigate biases

Diversity of thought makes for stronger teams



Adjust and calibrate your data sources



Add different data sources (including your own)



Prioritize the *known* over the *unknown*

As opposed to absolute comparison



Lesson 1.6 Summary

Reviewed the importance of working with other analysts to collaboratively assess ATT&CK mappings to increase accuracy and minimize bias

Reviewed key user and source biases and ways to hedge against them in order to effectively leverage ATT&CK





ATT&CK for CTI

© 2024 THE MITRE CORPORATION. ALL RIGHTS RESERVED.

0

Next Up:

Module 2: Mapping to ATT&CK from Raw Data



Module 2: Mapping to ATT&CK® from Raw Data

Amy Robertson



APPROVED FOR PUBLIC RELEASE; DISTRIBUTION UNLIMITED. PUBLIC RELEASE CASE NUMBER 23-4342



Learn how to identify and research behaviors in raw data



Understand how to translate behaviors into Tactics, Techniques, and Sub-Techniques

Module 2 Objectives



Practice mapping raw data to ATT&CK®



Review how to display ATT&CK mapped data



Lesson 2.1 Process of Mapping to Raw Data





Lesson 2.1 Objectives

Review the process for mapping raw data to ATT&CK® and assess mapping differences between raw data vs narrative reporting

Recognize the challenges and advantage of mapping from raw data



Mapping to ATT&CK from Raw Data

In Module 1 we discussed assessing intel where the activity has already been analyzed

> Module 2 focuses on analyzing behaviors directly from source data



Mapping to ATT&CK: Challenges and Advantages



Challenges

- A more advanced level of knowledge may be required
- You may need to review a lot more data that require different levels of expertise
- Adversary intent and tactics may be more difficult to identify, and require additional sources

Advantages

- Likely more information available at the procedure level/more detail in the data
- Not reinterpreting another analyst's prose/more insight into the behaviors
- Facilitates enhanced learning of the "technical" side



Pros/Cons of Mapping from the Two Sources



Step	Raw Data	Narrative Reporting
1. Find the behavior	Nearly everything may be a behavior (not all are ATT&CK techniques)	May be buried amongst prose, IOCs, etc
2. Research the behavior	May need to review multiple sources and data types. May also be a known procedure leading to simple technique identification	May have more info/context, may also have lost detail that wasn't included in the report
3. Translate the behavior into a tactic	In order to map to adversary intent, significant domain knowledge/expertise may be required	Often intent has been postulated by report author
4. Figure out what technique or sub-technique applies to the behavior	May have a procedure that maps straight to the technique or sub, or may require deep understanding of data type to understand how they're accomplished	May be as simple as a text match to description/procedure, or too much detail is absent from report, and it may be too vague to identify the technique or sub
5. Compare your results to other analysts	May need multiple analysts to cover all data sources	More likely in a form where other analysts needed for coverage/hedge against bias





Lesson 2.1 Summary

Reviewed the process for mapping raw data to ATT&CK and highlighted some differences from mapping from narrative reporting

Assessed the challenges and advantages of mapping from raw data compared to narrative reporting



Lesson 2.2 Identify and Research Behaviors





Lesson 2.2 Objectives

Develop the capability to recognize behaviors in raw data

Learn how to research behaviors leveraging multiple data sources



© 2024 THE MITRE CORPORATION. ALL RIGHTS RESERVED.

CYBRARY

1. Find the Behavior ipconfig /all sc.exe \\ln334656-pc create .\recycler.exe a -hpfGzq5yKw C:\\$Recycle.Bin\old C:\\$Recycle.Bin\Shockwave network.vsdx Commands captured by Sysmon being run interactively via cmd.exe

10.2.13.44:32123 -> 128.29.32.4:443 128.29.32.4:443 -> 10.2.13.44:32123

Flows from malware in a sandbox

HKLM\Software\Microsoft\Windows\CurrentVersion\Run HKLM\Software\Microsoft\Netsh

New reg keys during an incident



• The analysis process for raw data can leverage some of the same concepts as analysis for narrative reporting

Key Differences

- Assessing raw data may require expertise in the specific data type
 - Network, forensics, malware, Windows cmd line, etc
- Additional data sources may also be required to gain enough context about what the behavior is
 - Additional questions to responders/analysts





Matrices Tactics -Techniques -Software Resources **•** Blog 🗹 Contact ipconfig /all Groups Techniques Term found on page Home > Techniques > Enterprise > System Network Configuration Discovery System Network Configuration Discovery (ID: T1016) Software System Network Configuration Discovery Term found on page ipconfig (ID: S0100) Adversaries will likely look for details about the network configuration and settings of systems they access or through information discovery of remote systems. Several operating system administration utilities exist that can be used to gather this information. Examples include Arp, ipconfig/ifconfig, nbtstat, and route.

Examples

Name	Description
admin@338	admin@338 actors used the following command after exploiting a machine with LOWBALL malware to acquire information about local networks: <pre>ipconfig /all >> %temp%\download</pre> [1]



Not Enough Context

.\recycler.exe a
-hpfGzq5yKw
C:\\$Recycle.Bin\
old
C:\\$Recycle.Bin\
Shockwave_networ
k.vsdx

File Analysis

When recycler.exe is executed, it gives the following output:

C:\recycler.exe

RAR 3.70 Copyright (c) 1993-2007 Alexander Roshal 22 May 2007

Shareware version Type RAR -? for help Next Step: Further Research

Based on the analysis we can Google the flags to RAR and determine that it is being used to compress and encrypt the file



© 2024 THE MITRE CORPORATION. ALL RIGHTS RESERVED.

CYBRARY



.\recycler.exe a -hpfGzq5yKw C:\\$Recycle.Bin\old C:\\$Recycle.Bin\Shockwave_network.vsdx

G	Se vsdx	ļ	Q
		_	
	People also ask		
	What can open a VSDX file?		^
	A VSDX file is a drawing saved in the VSDX file format introduced with Vipprogram used for making drawings and technical illustrations.	isio 201	3, a
	The file being compressed/encrypted is a Visio diagram, probably ex	filtrat	ion
	© 2024 THE MITRE CORPORATION. ALL RIGHTS RESERVED.		

Lesson 2.2 Summary

Walked through examples of identifying behaviors in raw data

Reviewed how to research behaviors and discussed that multiple data sources may be needed for accurate assessments



© 2024 THE MITRE CORPORATION. ALL RIGHTS RESERVED.



MITRE ATT&CK Defender

Lesson 2.3

Translate Behaviors to Tactics, Techniques, and Sub-techniques





Develop the capability to translate behaviors from raw data into tactics, techniques, and sub-techniques

Lesson 2.3 Objectives

Review concurrent techniques

Discuss the importance of peer review and collaboration



3. Translate the Behavior into a Tactic



ipconfig /all

- Specific procedure only mapped to System Network Configuration Discovery
- System Network Configuration Discovery -> Discovery
- □ Seen being run via Sysmon -> Execution

□ Seen being run via Sysmon -> Execution



4. Figure Out What Technique or Sub Applies



- Similar to working with finished reporting we may jump straight here
 - Procedure may map directly to Tactic/Technique/Sub-technique
 - May have enough experience to compress steps (remember, this may increase your bias, and won't always work)

ipconfig /all

- Specific procedure in System Network Configuration Discovery (T1016)
 Also Command and Scripting Interpreter (T1059)



4. Concurrent Techniques



- Assess what's happening and how it's happening
- Certain tactics commonly have concurrent techniques:
 - Execution
 - Defense Evasion
 - Collection

Some techniques are describing how things are happening, while other techniques are describing what's happening

- Examples:
 - Phishing: Spearphishing Attachment + User Execution (Initial Access + Execution)
 - Data from Local System + Email Collection (2x Collection)
 - Process Discovery + Command and Scripting Interpreter (Discovery + Execution)



5. Compare Your Results to Other Analysts



- Hedging biases by leveraging diverse skillsets
- Mapping from raw data may need a broader set of skills/experience to work with different types of data

Analyst 1 Expertise

- Packets
- Malware/Reversing
- Windows command line

Analyst 2 Expertise

- Windows Events
- Disk Forensics
- macOS/Linux



Lesson 2.3 Summary



Reviewed the process for translating behaviors in raw data into tactics, techniques, and sub-techniques

Evaluated the different types of techniques

Reinforced the importance of peer review and collaboration for mapping from raw data





Lesson 2.4

Raw Data to Narrative Reporting





Lesson 2.4 Objectives

Practice mapping raw data to ATT&CK®

Understand how to feature mapped ATT&CK data in finished reporting





Exercise 2: Working with raw data

- You're going to be examining two tickets from a simulated incident
- Ticket 473822
 - Series of commands interactively executed via cmd.exe on an end system
- Ticket 473845
 - Pieces of a malware analysis of the primary RAT used in the incident
- You can access the two two tickets from a simulated intrusion incident under the Resources section
- Use whatever to record your results or download and edit
- Identify as many behaviors as possible
- Annotate the behaviors that are ATT&CK® techniques



Exercise Considerations



- What questions would you have asked of your incident responders?
- What was easier/harder than working with narrative reporting?
- What other types of data do you commonly encounter with behaviors?
- Did you notice any behaviors that you couldn't find a technique for?



Going Over Exercise 2 (Ticket 473822)


Going Over Exercise 2 (Ticket 473845)



Raw Data to Narrative Reporting



- If you are creating reporting with ATT&CK® techniques, we recommend keeping the techniques with the related procedures for context
 - Allows other analysts to examine the mapping for themselves
 - Ensures team is on the same page with mapping
 - Allows much easier capture of how a technique was done
 - Contributes to simpler process for crafting defenses against specific adversaries



Completed Reporting Examples



More Effective Reporting Methods

Less Effective

- **1. During operation Tangerine Yellow, the actors used Pineapple RAT to execute 'ipconfig /all' via the Windows command shell**².
 - 1. Discovery System Network Configuration Discovery (T1016)
 - 2. Execution Command and Scripting Interpreter (T1059)

2. System Network Configuration Discovery (T1016) and Command and Scripting Interpreter (T1059) -During operation Tangerine Yellow, the actors used Pineapple RAT to execute 'ipconfig /all' via the Windows command shell. **3. Appendix C – ATT&CK® Techniques** System Network Configuration Discovery Command and Scripting Interpreter Hardware Additions



Lesson 2.4 Summary



Practiced mapping raw data to ATT&CK and reviewed the results

Reinforced the importance of peer review and collaboration for mapping both narrative reporting and raw data

Reviewed effective ways to express mapped ATT&CK data in narrative reporting



© 2024 THE MITRE CORPORATION. ALL RIGHTS RESERVED.



ATT&CK for CTI



End of Module 2



Module 3: Storing and Analyzing ATT&CK® Mapped Data

Jackie Lasky



Approved for Public Release; Distribution Unlimited. Public Release Case Number 23-4342

Module 3 Agenda





Lesson 3.1:Storing and Displaying ATT&CK mapped Data



Lesson 3.2: Expressing ATT&CK mapped Data



Lesson 3.3: Analyzing ATT&CK mapped Data



Lesson 3.4: Compare Layers in ATT&CK Navigator





Lesson 3.1 **Storing and** Displaying **ATT&CK®** Mapped Data





Lesson 3.1 Objectives

Consider who (or what) will be consuming the mapped CTI

/ Identify the most effective storage platform for your environment and requirements





Storing ATT&CK Mapped Data: Considerations





Storing and Displaying ATT&CK Mapped Data





© 2024 THE MITRE CORPORATION. ALL RIGHTS RESERVED.



Thomas Schreck

https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Sofacy_Group

Timo Steffens Various

Country

Refs

Add new cluster

Storing and Displaying ATT&CK Mapped Data

Threat Sharing

Tags	tlp:white x Unstructured x osint:source-type="technical-report" x dnc:	malware-type="CoinMiner" 🗴 🕂		
Date	2018-11-13			
Threat Level	Undefined	Galaxies		
Analysis	Completed	Intrusion Set Q + @ Tropic Trooper	Galaxies	
Distribution	All communities 0	Attack Pattern Q	Threat Actor Q	
Info	OSINT: WebCobra Malware Uses Victims' Computers to Mine Cryptocurrency	+ 😯 Valid Accounts + 🚱 Rundll32 - T10	Description	The Sofacy Group (also known as APT28, Pawn Storm, Fancy Bear and Sednit) is a cyber espionage group believed to have ties to the
Published	Yes (2019-01-26 14:09:07)	+ 😗 Web Shell - T11		Russian government. Likely operating since 2007, the group is known to target government, military, and security organizations. It has been
#Attributes	44	+ 🕜 Registry Run K + 🕜 Accessibility F	0	characterized as an advanced persistent threat.
First recorded change	2018-11-13 16:10:27	+ 🕜 DLL Side-Load	Synonyms	APT 28 APT28 Davie Storm
Last change	2018-11-13 16:10:27	+ 😗 Deobfuscate/D + 🚱 Application Wi		Fancy Bear Sednit
Modification map		+ ③ File and Direct + ③ Process Disco		TsarTeam TG-4127 Group-4127
Sightings	0 (0) 🎤	+ 🛛 Query Registry + 🕄 System Inform		STRONTIUM Grey-Cloud
		+ System Service	Source	MISP Project
		+ Standard Crypt	Authors	Alexandre Dulaunoy Florian Roth



© 2024 THE MITRE CORPORATION. ALL RIGHTS RESERVED.

+ 🚱 Remote File Cc

+ S Exfiltration Ove



Storing and Displaying ATT&CK Mapped Data

2018-10-16	Name: ip-port a* References: 0 🖬 Referenced by: 1	2								Inherit		C î
2018-10-16	Network activity	hostname: hostname	sincirewdo.ru Q	Ð	Attack Pattern Exfiltration Over Command and Control Channel - T1041 Q III III Data Encrypted - T1022 Q III IIII		0	1	9	Inherit	心 ゆ チ (0/ <mark>0/</mark> 3)	*C 窗 *C 窗
2018-10-16	Network activity	ip: ip-dst	46.36.220.116 Q	8	Attack Pattern Exfiltration Over Command and Control Channel - T1041 Q 譜 箇 Data Encrypted - T1022 Q 譜 箇 Add		0	1	8	Inherit	らゆ を (0/0/0)	*C 會 *C 會
2018-10-16	Network activity	dst-port: port	443	÷	Add		0		0	Inherit	必 ゆ チ (0/0/0)	C 🛍 C 🛍
2018-10-16	External analysis	attachment	Image: State Stat	6	Attack Pattern Screer Spearphishing Attachment - T1193 Q III III phishin Add	inshot of the	Ø	1	周	Inherit	必 ゆ チ (0/0/0)	C 童 C 童

Ability to link to indicators and files



Courtesy of Alexandre Dulaun





Lesson 3.1 Summary

Considered how the ATT&CK mapped data would be consumed, linked, contextualized, and imported/exported

Reviewed internal and external storage platform options for your environment and requirements





Lesson 3.2 Expressing and Storing ATT&CK® Mapped Data





Lesson 3.2 Objectives

Review methods for expressing and storing mapped-data

Identify the most effective approach for your environment and requirements







Who Is Calling? CDRThief Targets Linux VoIP Softswitches

(published: September 10, 2020)

A new malware named "CDRThief" has been identified by ESET researchers. Targeting VoIP softswitches Linknat VOS2009 and VOS3000, the malware exfiltrates call data such as caller, call duration, call fee, callee IP address among other information. The call information is stolen from an internal MySQL database which is accessed using credentials taken from the softswitch config files. While the passwords are encrypted, CDRThief is able to decrypt them for use.

MITRE ATT&CK: [MITRE ATT&CK] Obfuscated Files or Information - T1027 | [MITRE ATT&CK] System Information Discovery - T1082 | [MITRE ATT&CK] Exfiltration Over Command and Control Channel - T1041

Techniques at the end of a report





Techniques at the end of a report

Analyzing Operation GhostSecret: Attack Seeks to Steal Data Worldwide

MITRE ATT&CK techniques



- Exfiltration over control server channel: Gata is existing account account over the control server channel using a custom protocol
- Commonly used port: the attackers used common ports such as port 443 for control server communications
- · Service execution: registers the implant as a service on the victim's machine
- Automated collection: the implant automatically collects data about the victim and sends it to the control server
- Data from local system: local system is discovered and data is gathered
- Process discovery: implants can list processes running on the system
- · System time discovery: part of the data reconnaissance method, the system time is also sent to the control server
- File deletion: malware can wipe files indicated by the attacker





Growing Tensions Between U.S., DPRK Coincide with Higher Rate of CHOLLIMA Activity

Techniques Observed

- Persistence: New Service
- Defense Evasion: Masquerading
- Discovery: System Information Discovery, System Network Configuration Discovery, File and Directory Discovery
- Command and Control

Techniques at the beginning of a report

CROWDSTRIKE

Consistent with reporting trends across the community, OverWatch saw an increase in threat activity attributed to North Korea in 2017. For example, in mid-May, STARDUST CHOLLIMA actors exploited a web-facing SMB server belonging to a high-profile research institution located in the U.S. They leveraged access to install the following malicious DLL:

https://www.crowdstrike.com/resources/reports/2018crowdstrike-global-threat-report-blurring-the-lines-betweenstatecraft-and-tradecraft/





In-text

Techniques

in a report

Ransomware Impacting Pipeline Operations

Original release date: February 18, 2020 | Last revised: July 16, 2020



Summary

The Cybersecurity and Infrastructure Security Agency (CISA) encourages asset owner operators across all critical infrastructure sectors to review the below threat actor techniques and ensure the corresponding mitigations are applied.

CISA responded to a cyberattack affecting control and communication assets on the operational technology (OT) network of a natural gas compression facility. A cyber threat actor used a *Spearphishing Link* [T1192] to obtain initial access to the organization's information technology (IT) network before pivoting to its OT network. The threat actor then deployed commodity ransomware to *Encrypt Data for Impact* [T1486] on both networks. Specific assets experiencing a *Loss of Availability* [T826] on the OT network included human machine interfaces (HMIs), data historians, and polling servers. Impacted assets were no longer able to read and aggregate real-time operational data reported from low-level OT devices, resulting in a partial *Loss of View* [T829] for human operators. The attack did not impact any programmable logic controllers (PLCs) and at no point did the victim lose control of operations. Although the victim's emergency response plan

> https://us-cert.cisa.gov/ncas/alerts/aa20-049a

(v))



digital shadows_ Mitre ATT&CK[™] and the Mueller GRU Indictment: Lessons for Organizations

Adding additional info to an ATT&CK technique

MITRE ATT&CK Stage	GRU Tactics, Techniques and Procedures	Mitigation Advice					
1. Initial Access	Trusted Relationship	 3rd parties, such as suppliers and partner organizations, typically have privileged access via a trusted relationship into certain environments. These relationships can be abused by attackers to subvert security controls and gain unauthorized access into target environments. Managing trusted relationships, like supply chains, is an incredibly complex topic. The NCSC (National Cyber Security Center) has an excellent overview of this challenging topic. 					

https://www.digitalshadows.com/blog-and-research/mitre-attck-and-the-muellergru-indictment-lessons-for-organizations/









https://www.recordedfuture.com/mitre-attack-framework/



Sunit 42 PLAYBOOK VIEWER

Technique: T1064: ScriptingREFERENCE

Description	Indicator Pattern
Sysget writes a batch script in the %TEMP% folder to clean up the original files and spawning a newly written winlogon.exe executable.	<pre>[process:command_line = '@echo off :t timeout 1 for /f %%i in (\'tasklist /FI "IMAGENAME eq [original_executable_name]" ^ find /v /c ""\') do set YO=%%i if %%YO%%==4 goto :t del /F " [original_executable_path]" del /F "[tmp_file]" start /B cmd /c "[startup_winlogon.exe]" del /F " [self]" exit']</pre>

Technique: T1071: Standard Application Layer Protocol Reference	
Description	Indicator Pattern
C2 server communicates over HTTP and embeds data within the Cookie HTTP header.	[domain-name:value = '2014.zzux.com']
\mathbf{x}	https://pan-unit42.github.io/playbook_viewer/





Event Triggered Execution: Component Object Model Hijacking APT28 has used COM hijacking for persistence by replacing the legitimate MMDeviceEnumerator object with a payload.^{[23][11]}

https://attack.mitre.org/groups/G0007/

Full-Text Report

APT15 was also observed using Mimikatz to dump credentials and generate Kerberos golden tickets. This allowed the group to persist in the victim's network in the event of

ATT&CK Technique OS Credential Dumping (T1003)

https://www.nccgroup.trust/us/about-us/newsroom-and-events/blog/2018/march/apt15-is-alive-and-strong-an-analysis-of-royalcli-and-royaldns/





Lesson 3.2 Summary

Reviewed various methods and levels of detail for expressing and storing mapped-data

Examined how to identify the most effective approach for your environment and requirements



Lesson 3.3 Analyzing ATT&CK® Mapped Data





Lesson 3.3 Objectives

Review the ATT&CK Navigator process for storing, analyzing, visualizing and exporting data in ATT&CK Navigator

Learn how to prioritize techniques and subtechniques to inform actionable intelligence





APT28 Techniques



Reconnaissance	Resource	Initial	Execution	Persistence	Privilege	Defense	Credential	Discovery	Lateral	Collection	Command	Exfiltration	Impact
Active	Acquire	Drive-by	Command and Scription	Account	Abuse Elevation	Abuse Elevation	Brute Eorce	Account	Exploitation of	Archive	Application	Automated	Account
Scanning Gether Victim	Infrastructure	Compromise	Interpreter	Manipulation	Control Mechanism	Control Mechanism	Credentials from	Discovery	Remote Services	Collected Data	Layer Protocol Communication	Exfiltration Data Transfer	Access Removal
Host Information	Accounts	Public-Facing Application	Client Execution	BITS Jobs	Manipulation	Manipulation	Password Stores	Window Discovery	Spearphishing	Capture	Through Removable Media	Size Limits	Destruction
Gather Victim Identity	Compromise Infrastructure	External Remote Services	Inter-Process Communication	Boot or Logon Autostart	Boot or Logon Autostart	BITS Jobs	Exploitation for Credential Access	Browser Bookmark Discovery	Lateral Tool Transfer	Automated	Data Encoding	Exfiltration Over Alternative Protocol	Data Encrypted for Impact
Gather Victim Network	Develop	Hardware	Native API	Boot or Logon Initialization	Boot or Logon Initialization	Deobfuscate/Decode Files	Forced	Cloud Infrastructure	Remote Service	Clipboard	Data	Exfiltration	Data
Gather Victim	Establish	Additions	Scheduled	Browser	Scripts Create or Modify	or Information Direct	Input	Cloud Service	Remote	Data Data from Cloud	Dynamic	Exfiltration	Manipulation
Org Information	Accounts	Phisning	Task/Job	Extensions	System Process	Volume Access	Capture	Dashboard	Services	Storage Object	Resolution	Over Other Network Medium	Defacement
Phishing for Information	Obtain Capabilities	Through Removable Media	Shared Modules	Compromise Client Software Binary	Event Triggered Execution	Guardrails	Man-in-the-Middle	Discovery	Through Removable Media	Configuration Repository	Encrypted Channel	Exfiltration Over Physical Medium	Disk Wipe
Search Closed		Supply Chain	Software	Create	Exploitation for Privilege	Exploitation for	Modify Authentication	Domain Trust	Software	Data from Information	Fallback	Exfiltration	Endpoint Denial
Search Search		Trusted	System	Create or Modify	Group Policy	File and Directory	Network	File	Taint Shared	Data from	Ingress Tool	Scheduled	Firmware
Databases Search Onen		Relationship	Services	System Process	Modification	Modification	Sniffing OS Credential	Discovery Network Service	Content Use Alternate	Local System	Transfer	Transfer	Corruption
Websites/Domains		Accounts	Execution	Execution	Execution Flow	Modification	Dumping	Scanning	Authentication Material	Shared Drive	Channels	to Cloud Account	Recovery
Search Victim-Owned			Windows Management	External Bemote Services	Process	Hide	Steal Application	Network Share		Data from Bemovable Media	Non-Application		Network Denial
Websites			Instrumentation	Hijack	Scheduled	Hijack	Steal or Forge	Network		Data Stagod	Non-Standard		Resource
				Execution Flow	Task/Job	Execution Flow	Kerberos Tickets Steel Web	Sniffing Password Policy		Email	Port	-	Hijacking
				Container Image	Accounts	Defenses	Session Cookie	Discovery		Collection	Tunneling		Stop
				Office Application		Indicator Berroval on Host	Two-Factor Authentication	Peripheral Device Discovery		Input	Proxy		System Shutdown/Beboot
				Pre-OS Boot	1	Indirect Command	Unsecured	Permission		Man in	Remote Access		
				Scheduled	-	Execution	Credentials	Groups Discovery Process		the Browser	Software Traffic		
Cub	toohnig	una in		Task/Job	-	Masquerading		Discovery		Man-in-the-Middle	Signaling		
-auc	-lechnig			Component		Authentication Process		Registry		Capture	Web Service		
	'			Traffic Signaling		Modify Cloud Compute		Remote System Discoverv		Video Capture			
CO	lansed	VIew		Valid		Modify		Software			1		
	napooa			Accounts		Registry Modify		Discovery System					
						System Image		Information Discovery					
						Bridging		Configuration					
						Obfuscated Files		System Network Connections	1				
						or Information		Discovery System Owner/User					
						Pre-OS Boot		Discovery					
						Injection		Discovery					
						Rogue Domain	1	System Time	1				
						Controller		Virtualization/Sandbox					
						Signed Binany		Evasion					
						Proxy Execution							
						Signed Script							
						Subvert Trust							
						Controls							
						Injection							
						Traffic	1						
						Trusted Developer							
						Proxy Execution							
						Cloud Regions							
						Use Alternate Authentication							
						Valid							
						Accounts							
~						Evasion							
						Weaken							
						XSL Script							





CYB

APT29 Techniques & Sub-techniques



Comparing APT28 and APT29







© 2024 THE MITRE CORPORATION. ALL RIGHTS RESERVED.

Choose Your Layer in Navigator

					MITRE ATT&CK [®] Naviga				
new tab × +									
	Oreste New Lever			^					
	Create New Layer	Greate New Layer Greate a new empty layer							
	Enterprise	Mobile	ICS						
Now with	More Options			~					
domains and									
voreione	version * Choose	se the version for the new layer. *Versions prior to	o ATT&CK v4 are not supported by						
VEI 310115	Navig.	ator v4.0.							
	domain 👻 Choos	se a domain for the new layer.							
	Create								
	Open Existing Layer	Load a layer from your computer or a UR	IL.	~					
	Create Layer from other layers	Choose layers to inherit properties from		~					
	Create Customized Navigator	Create a hyperlink to a customized ATT&	CK Navigator	~					



1. Create an APT28 Layer in Navigator

layer x

))

+

							selectio	Sh cont	Taver	contr	ois				technique controis						
							Ô,	٩,	=+, ×₀ 🕒	. 1		o =, 1	[A 🌳 , G	> ≎ × Ⅲ,	<i>///</i> 📐 🖬	, 🗖, 💸					
onnaissance	Resource Development 6 techniques	Initial Access 9 techniques	Execution 10 techniques	Persistence 18 techniques	Privilege Escalation 12 techniques	Defense Evasion 37 techniques	Credential Access 14 techniques		APT16	eat g	select	deselect	ollection techniques	Command and Control 16 techniques	Exfiltration 9 techniques	Impa 13 techni					
Scanning (0/2)	Acquire Infrastructure (0/6)	Drive-by Compromise	Command and Scripting	Account Manipulation (0/4)	Abuse Elevation Control	Abuse Elevation Control	Brute Force (0/4)	II Acc Dis	APT17	view	select	deselect	ve cted	Application	Automated Exfiltration (0/1)	Account Acc Removal					
tion (0/4)	Compromise	Exploit Public-	Interpreter (0/8)	BITS Jobs	Mechanism (0/4)	Mechanism (0/4)	Credentials from Password	App	APT18 APT19	view	select	deselect	(0/3)	Protocol (0/4)	Data Transfer Size Limits	Data Destru					
Victim Identity	Compromise	Application	Client Execution	Boot or Logon Autostart	Manipulation (0/5)	Manipulation (0/5)	Stores (0/3)	Bro	APT28	view	select	deselect	nated	Through Removable	Exfiltration	Data Encryp for Impact					
Victim Network	Infrastructure (0/6)	External Remote Services	Inter-Process Communication (0/2)	Execution (0/12)	Boot or Logon Autostart	BITS Jobs	Exploitation for Credential	Dis	s	oftv	are		ction	Media	Over Alternative	Data					
Victim Ora	Capabilities (0/4)	Hardware Additions	Native API	Boot or Logon Initialization Scripts	Boot or Logon	Files or Information	Access	Dis	3PARA RAT	<u>vie</u>	w select	deselect	from Cloud	Encoding (0/2)	Exfiltration	Defacement					
tion (0/4)	Establish Accounts (0/2)	Phishing (0/3)	Scheduled Task/Job (0/6)	II Browser	Initialization Scripts (0/5)	Direct Volume Access	Authentication	Clo Das	4H RAT	<u>vie</u>	w select	deselect	ge Object	Data Obfuscation (0/3)	Over C2 Channel	Disk Wipe (0					
g for tion (0/3)	Obtain Capabilities	Replication	Shared Modules	Extensions	Create or Modify	Execution Guardrails (0/1)	II Input Capture _(0/4)	II Clo	adbupd	vie	w select	deselect	from guration	Dynamic II	Exfiltration	Endpoint De					
Closed s (0/2)		Removable Media	Software Deployment Tools	Client Software Binary	Process (0/4)	Exploitation for Defense Evasion	Man-in-the- Middle _(0/2)	II Doi	ADVSTORESHELL	vie	w select	deselect	from	Encrypted	Network Medium (0/1)	Firmware					
Open :al I	1	Supply Chain Compromise (0/2)	System Services (0/2)	II Create Account (0/2)	Event Triggered Execution (0/15)	File and Directory Permissions	Modify Authentication	II File	 m	itiga	tions		sitories (0/2)	Fallback	Exfiltration Over Physical	Corruption Inhibit Syste					
ses (0/5)		Trusted	User Execution (0/2)	II Create or Modify	Exploitation for Privilege	Modification (0/2)	Process (0/4)	Dis	Account Use Policie	s <u>vie</u>	w select	deselect	from Local m	Channels	Medium (0/1)	Recovery					
es/Domains (0/2)	1	Valid	Windows Management	Process (0/4)	Group Policy	Modification	Sniffing	Sca	Configuration	<u>vie</u>	w select	deselect	from ork Shared	Transfer	Over Web	Service (0/2)					
Victim-Owned ∋s		Accounts (0/4)	Instrumentation	Event Triggered Execution (0/15)	Modification	Hide Artifacts (0/7)	OS Credential Dumping (0/8)	II Net Dis	Antivirus/Antimalwa Application	re <u>vie</u>	w select	deselect		Multi-Stage Channels	Scheduled	Resource Hijacking					
				External Remote	Flow (0/11)	II Flow (0/11)	II Steal	Net	Developer Guidance Application Isolatior			deselect	bvable	Non-Application	Transfer Data	Service Stor					
				Services Hijack Execution	Process Injection (0/11)	Impair Defenses (0/7)	Access Token	Pas Dis	and Sandboxing covery		aterial (0/	⁴⁾ Data	a Staged _(0/2)	Non-Standard	to Cloud Account	System Shutdown/R					
				Flow _(0/11)	Scheduled	Host (0/6)	Steal or Forge Kerberos	II Per	ipheral Device	_		Ema	il	Port Protocol							
				Container Image	Valid	Indirect Command Execution	Steal Web	Per	mission Groups			Inpu	it	Tunneling							
				Office Application Startup	Accounts (0/4)	Masquerading (0/6)	II Two-Factor	Dis				Capt	ture _(0/4)	Proxy (0/4)							
				Pre-OS Boot (0/5)	n	Modify Authentication Process (0/4)	Authentication Interception	Que	ery Registry			Brov	vser	Software							
				Scheduled Task/Job	и	н		u	N N	Modify Clouc Compute	Modify Cloud Compute	d Unsecured		note System coverv			Man Mido	dle _(0/2)	Signaling (0/1)		
1				Server Software	н	Infrastructure (0/4)	(0/6)	Sof	tware	н		Scre	en Capture	Web Service (0/3)							
				(0/3)		incarry registry		013	(0/1)			vide	Suptaire								





2. Assign a Score and Rename the Layer

APIZO X							S	election controls	layer controls			technique co	ontrols
								⊜ , Q, ≡ ₊ , X _₅	₿, ± ⊞ (0 ╤, ţ₄ @	, •	₩, <u>% </u> \.	0, 9, %
aissance nniques	Resource Development 6 techniques	Initial Access 9 techniques	Execution 10 techniques	Persistence 18 techniques	Privilege Escalation 12 techniques	Defense Evasion 37 techniques	Credential Access 14 techniques	Discovery 25 techniques	Lateral Movement 9 techniques	Collection 17 techniques	Command a Control 16 techniques	score 1 9 techniques	impact
ning _(0/2) m Host (0/4)	Acquire Infrastructure (1/6) Compromise Accounts (0/0)	Drive-by Compromise Exploit Public- Facing	Command and Scripting Interpreter _(2/8)	Account Manipulation (0/4) BITS Jobs	Abuse Elevation Control Mechanism _(0/4) Access Token	Abuse Elevation Control Mechanism _(0/4)	Brute Force _(2/4) Credentials from Password	Account Discovery (0/4) Application Window Discovery	II Exploitation of Remote Services	Archive Collected Data (0/3) Audio Capture	Application Layer Protocol _(2/4)	Automated Exfiltration (0/1) Data Transfer Size Limits	Account Access Removal Data Destruction
m Identity (0/3) m Network	Compromise Infrastructure (0/6)	Application External Remote Services	Client Execution Inter-Process Communication (1/2)	Boot or Logon Autostart Execution (0/12) Boot or Logon	Manipulation (1/5) Boot or Logon Autostart Execution (0/12)	Manipulation _(1/5) BITS Jobs Deobfuscate/Decode	Stores (0/3) Exploitation for Credential Access	Browser Bookmark Discovery Cloud Infrastructure	Spearphishing Lateral Tool Transfer	Automated Collection	Through Removable Media Data	Exfiltration Over Alternative Protocol (0(2)	Data Encrypted for Impact Data Manipulation (0(3)
m Org (0/4)	Capabilities (0/4) Establish Accounts (0/2)	Hardware Additions Phishing _(2/3) I	Native API Scheduled Task/Job (0/6)	Initialization Scripts (1/5) Browser Extensions	Boot or Logon Initialization Scripts _(1/5)	Files or Information Direct Volume Access Execution	Forced Authentication	Discovery Cloud Service Dashboard	Remote Service Session Hijacking _(0/2)	Data from Cloud Storage Object Data from	Encoding _(0/2) Data Obfuscation _(1/3)	Exfiltration Over C2 Channel	Defacement (0/2) Disk Wipe (0/2)
(0/3) ed II	Obtain Capabilities _(0/6) II	Replication Through Removable Media	Shared Modules Software Deployment Tools	Compromise Client Software Binary	Create or Modify System Process (0/4)	Guardrails _(0/1) Exploitation for Defense Evasion	Capture _(1/4) Man-in-the- Middle _(0/2)	Cloud Service Discovery Domain Trust Discovery	Remote Services (0/6) Replication	Configuration Repository (0/2) Data from Information	Dynamic Resolution (0/3)	Exfiltration Over Other Network Medium (0/1)	Endpoint Denial of Service (0/4) Firmware
n 11 0/5)		Supply Chain Compromise (0/3)	System Services (0/2)	Create Account (0/3) Create or Modify	Execution (1/15) Exploitation for Privilege	File and Directory Permissions Modification _(0/2)	Modify Authentication Process (0/4)	II File and Directory Discovery	Removable Media Software	Repositories (1/2) Data from Local System	Fallback Channels	Exfiltration Over Physical Medium _(0/1)	Inhibit System Recovery
h omains _(0/2) ^{II} m-Owned		Relationship Valid Accounts (0/4)	Windows Management Instrumentation	System Process (0/4) Event Triggered Execution core	Escalation Group Policy Modification	Group Policy Modification Hide Artifacts _(2/7)	Network Sniffing	Network Service Scanning Network Share Discovery	Deployment Tools Taint Shared Content	Data from Network Shared Drive	Ingress Tool Transfer Multi-Stage Channels	Exfiltration Over Web Service (0/2)	Network Denial of Service (0/2) Resource Hijacking
				2/10/04/10/17 (1/15)	Hijack Execution	Hijack Execution	9 (1/8)	Discortery	Contone	Data from	onannoio	Transfor	injaolang



© 2024 THE MITRE CORPORATION. ALL RIGHTS RESERVED.





MITRE ATT&CK[®] Navigator

4. Repeat the Process but Assign New Score

APT28 x	PT29 x +						selection	controls layer co , =+, ×₂ ∎,	entrols	₹, <u></u>]	≎ × щ,	technique controls	旦, ※				
onnaissance) techniques	Resource Development 6 techniques	Initial Access 9 techniques	Execution 10 techniques	Persistence 18 techniques	Privilege Escalation 12 techniques	Defense Evasion 37 techniques	Credential Access 14 techniques	Discovery 25 techniques	Lateral Movement 9 techniques	Collection 17 techniques	Comn Cc 16 te	o techniques	Impa 13 techr				
Scanning _(0/2)	Acquire Infrastructure (1/6)	Drive-by Compromise	Command and Scripting	Account Manipulation (0/4)	Abuse Elevation Control Mechanism	Abuse Elevation Control Mechanism	Brute Force (0/4)	II Account Discovery (0/4)	Exploitation of Remote	Archive Collected	Application Layer Protocol	Automated Exfiltration (0/1)	II Account Ac Removal				
Victim Identity	atity Compromise Accounts (0/2)	Exploit Public- Facing Application	Exploitation for	BITS Jobs	BITS Jobs	BITS Jobs	BITS Jobs	BITS Jobs	oot or Logon Mechanism (1/4) Access Token Manipulation (arc)	Access Token Manipulation	Credentials from Password Stores	Application Window Discovery	Internal	Audio Capture	Communication	Data Transfer Size Limits	Data Destru
Victim Network	Compromise Infrastructure (0/6)	External Remote	Inter-Process	Autostart Execution (2/12)	Boot or Logon	BITS Jobs	Exploitation for Credential	Browser Bookmark Discovery	Lateral Tool Transfer	Automated Collection	Removable Media	Exfiltration Over Alternative	for Impact				
Victim Org	Develop Capabilities _(1/4)	Hardware	Native API	Boot or Logon Initialization Scripts	Execution (2/12)	Deobfuscate/Decode Files or Information	Access	Cloud Infrastructure Discovery	Remote	Clipboard Data	Data Encoding _(0/2)	Protocol (0/3)	Manipulatic				
ation $(0/4)$	Establish Accounts (0/2)	Phishing (2/3)	Scheduled Task/Job _(1/6)	Browser Extensions	Initialization Scripts (0/5)	Direct Volume Access	Authentication	Cloud Service Dashboard	Session Hijacking (0/2)	Storage Object	Data Obfuscation _(1/3)	Over C2 Channel	Disk Wipe				
tion (0/3)	Obtain Capabilities _(0/6)	Replication Through Removable	Shared Modules	Compromise	Create or Modify System Process	Guardrails (0/1)	Capture (0/4)	Cloud Service Discovery	Remote Services (0/6)	Configuration Repository (0/2)	II Dynamic Resolution _(0/3)	Exfiltration Over Other	Endpoint D of Service				
S (0/2)		Media	Deployment Tools	Binary	Event Triggered	Defense Evasion	Middle (0/2)	Domain Trust Discovery	Replication Through	Data from Information	Encrypted Channel (0/2)	Medium (0/1)	Firmware Corruption				
ses (0/5)		Compromise (0/3)	System Services (0/2)	Account (0/3)	Execution (2/15) Exploitation for	Permissions Modification (0/2)	Authentication Process (0/4)	II File and Directory Discovery	Media	Data from Local	Fallback Channels	Over Physical Medium (0/1)	II Inhibit Syst Recovery				
Open es/Domains _(0/2)		Relationship	Windows	System Process (0/4)	Escalation	Group Policy Modification	Network Sniffing	Network Service Scanning	Deployment Tools	Data from	Ingress Tool Transfer	Exfiltration Over Web	Network De Service (0/2				
Victim-Owned es	-	Accounts (1/4)	Instrumentation	Event Triggered Execution (2/15)	Modification	Hide Artifacts (0/7)	II OS Credential Dumping (0/8)	Network Share Discovery	Taint Shared Content	Network Shared Drive	Multi-Stage Channels	Service (0/2) Scheduled	Resource Hijacking				
				External Remote Services	Hijack Execution Flow (0/11)	Hijack Execution Flow (0/11)	II Steal Application	Network Sniffing	Use Alternate Authentication	Data from Removable II Media	Non-Application Layer Protocol	Transfer Transfer Data	Service Sto				
				Hijack Execution	Process Injection (0/11)	Impair Defenses (0/7)	Access Token	Password Policy Discovery	Material (1/4)	Data Staged _(0/2)	II Non-Standard Port	to Cloud Account	System Shutdown/				



© 2024 THE MITRE CORPORATION. ALL RIGHTS RESERVED.


5. Combine Layers & Adjust Score Colors



APT28

APT29 x new tab x



"Create Layer from other layers", combine the scores you have in your two layers (a,b,), and enter the expression "a b" into the score expression field. Set low value for 1 and high value (combined techniques) for 3

© 2024 THE MITRE CORPORATION. ALL RIGHTS RESERVED.

CYBRARY



6. Expand Sub-Techniques & Export/Visualize



()



()

© 2024 THE MITRE CORPORATION. ALL RIGHTS RESERVED.

CYBRARY



Lesson 3.3 Summary

Learned how to map multiple threat groups in ATT&CK Navigator to enable analysis and identification of overlapping techniques/subtechniques.

Examined how to prioritize techniques and subtechniques for actionable intelligence



Lesson 3.4 Exercise 3: Comparing Layers in ATT&CK® Navigator





Lesson 3.4 Objectives

Practice defining and comparing layers in Navigator

Review the overlapping techniques and sub-





Exercise 3: Comparing Layers in Navigator



Refer to the Resources section for Exercise 3

- The techniques and sub-techniques are listed in the "APT39 and Cobalt Kitty Techniques" PDF
- 1. Open ATT&CK Navigator: http://bit.ly/attacknav
- 2. Enter the techniques and sub-techniques from APT39 and Cobalt Kitty/OceanLotus into separate Navigator layers with a unique score for each layer.
- 3. Combine the layers in Navigator to create a third layer
- 4. Color score your third layer
- 5. Make a list of the techniques and sub-techniques that overlap between the two groups
- Please pause. We suggest giving yourself 15 minutes for this exercise.





Exercise 3: Comparing Layers in Navigator



APT39 Techniques/Subs

APT32 (OceanLotus) Techniques/Subs

Overlapping Techniques/Subs that both groups employ

CYBRARY

Exercise 3: Comparing Layers in Navigator



What are some of the overlapping techniques and sub-techniques you identified?





Exercise 3: Comparing Layers in ATT&CK Navigator



Here are the overlapping techniques between APT39 and APT32: Phishing:Spearphishing Attachment (T1566.001) Phishing: Spearphishing Link (T1566.002) Command and Scripting Interpreter (T1059) Scheduled Task/Job:Scheduled Task (T1053.005) User Execution: Malicious Link(T1204.001) Boot or Logon Autostart Execution: Registry Run Keys / Startup Folder (T1547.001) Obfuscated Files or Information (T1027) Network Service Scanning (T1046)







Lesson 3.4 Summary

Worked through defining and comparing layers in Navigator process and identified the overlapping techniques and sub-techniques

Reviewed the APT32 and APT39 intersecting outcomes



Next Up:

Module 4: Making Defensive Recommendations from ATT&CK® Mapped Data



End of Module 3



Module 4: Making Defensive Recommendations from ATT&CK® Mapped Data

Adam Pennington



APPROVED FOR PUBLIC RELEASE; DISTRIBUTION UNLIMITED. PUBLIC RELEASE CASE NUMBER 23-4342

2

Learn the process for making defensive recommendations based on ATT&CK mapped data



Identify the priority techniques and sub-techniques for your enterprise.



Understand your enterprise capabilities and constraints



Practice making customized defensive recommendations



Module 4

Objectives

Agenda









Lesson 4.1: The Defensive Recommendation Process Lesson 4.2: Research how techniques and subtechniques are being used and the defensive options Lesson 4.3: Research Organizational Capabilities and Constraints & Determine Tradeoffs Lesson 4.4: Make Defensive Recommendations



Lesson 4.1: The Defensive Recommendations Process





Lesson 4.1 Objectives

Review the process for making defensive recommendations

Learn how to determine priority techniques





Applying Technique Intelligence to Defense

- We've now seen a few ways to identify techniques seen in the wild
 - Extracted from narrative reporting
 - Extracted from raw-incident data
 - Leveraging data already mapped by ATT&CK® team
- We can identify techniques used by multiple groups we care about
 May be our highest priority starting point
- How do we make that intelligence actionable?



Process for Making Defensive Recommendations

Make defensive recommendations	05
Determine what trade-offs are for org on specific options	04
Research organizational capability/constraints	03
Research defensive options related to technique and sub-technique	02
Research how techniques and sub-techniques are being used	01
 Determine priority techniques And sub-techniques 	0

M)I)RE

Step 0. Determine Priority Techniques

- There are multiple ways to prioritize in this training we'll focus on leveraging CTI
- 1. Data sources: what data do you have already?
- 2. Threat intelligence: what are your adversaries doing?
- 3. Tools: what can your current tools cover?
- 4. Red team: what can you see red teamers doing?



Step 0. Determine Priority Techniques





Lesson 4.1 Summary

Reviewed the process for making defensive recommendations

Learned how to determine priority techniques and sub-techniques from a CTI perspective and reviewed potential data sources





Lesson 4.2 Research how Techniques & Sub-Techniques are being used and Defensive Options





Lesson 4.2 Objectives

Learn the approach for identifying how techniques and sub-techniques are being used

Understand how to research the associated defensive options



Step 1. Research How Techniques and Subtechniques are Used

- What specific procedures are being used for a given technique or sub-technique
 - Important that the defensive response corresponds with activity

APT39: An Iranian Cyber Espionage Group Focused on Personal Information

FireEye Intelligence has observed APT39 leverage spear phishing emails with malicious attachments and/or hyperlinks typically resulting in a POWBAT infection

 Execution – User Execution (T1204) User Execution: Malicious Link (T1204.001) User Execution: Malicious Attachment (T1204.002)

OPERATION COBALT KITTY: A LARGE-SCALE APT IN ASIA CARRIED OUT BY THE OCEANLOTUS GROUP

Two types of payloads were found in the spearphishing emails: links to malicious sites or weaponized Word documents

> Execution – User Execution (T1204) User Execution: Malicious Link (T1204.001) User Execution: Malicious Attachment (T1204.002)



Step 1. Research How Techniques and Subtechniques are Used

MIT		K [™] Matrices Tactics ▼ Techniques ▼ Mitigations ▼ Gro	oups			
User Execution						
	Proced	lure Examples				
	Name	Description				
	APT32	APT32 has lured targets to download a Cobalt Strike beacon by including a malicious link within spearphishing emails. ^[40]				
	APT33	APT33 has lured users to click links to malicious HTML applications delivered via spearphishing emails. ^{[7][8]}				
	APT39	APT39 has sent spearphishing emails in an attempt to lure users to click on a malicious link. ^[11]				
	BackConfig	BackConfig has compromised victims via links to URLs hosting malicious content. ^[6]				
	BlackTech	BlackTech has used e-mails with malicious links to lure victims into installing malware. ^[3]				
	Cobalt Group	Cobalt Group has sent emails containing malicious links that require users to execute a file or macro to infect the victim machine. [12][13]				
	Dragonfly 2.0	Dragonfly 2.0 has used various forms of spearphishing in attempts to get users to open links. ^{[14][15]}				



Some sources providing defensive information indexed to ATT&CK®



Supplement with your own research

User Execution

Sub-techniques (2)

 $\mathbf{\vee}$

An adversary may rely upon specific actions by a user in order to gain execution. Users may be subjected to social engineering to get them to execute malicious code by, for example, opening a malicious document file or link. These user actions will typically be observed as follow-on behavior from forms of Phishing.

While User Execution frequently occurs shortly after Initial Access it may occur at other phases of an intrusion, such as when an adversary places a file in a shared directory or on a user's desktop hoping that a user will click on it. This activity may also be seen shortly after Internal Spearphishing.

ID: T1204 Sub-techniques: T1204.001, T1204.002 Tactic: Execution Platforms: Linux, Windows, macOS Permissions Required: User Data Sources: Anti-virus, Process command-line parameters, Process monitoring



User Execution: Malicious Link

Other sub-techniques of User Execution (2)

An adversary may rely upon a user clicking a malicious link in order to gain execution. Users may be subjected to social engineering to get them to click on a link that will lead to code execution. This user action will typically be observed as follow-on behavior from Spearphishing Link. Clicking on a link may also lead to other execution techniques such as exploitation of a browser or application vulnerability via Exploitation for Client Execution. Links may also lead users to download files that require execution via Malicious File.

ID: T1204.001 Sub-technique of: T1204 Tactic: Execution Platforms: Linux, Windows, macOS Permissions Required: User Data Sources: Anti-virus, Process monitoring, Web proxy

User Execution: Malicious File

 \sim

Other sub-techniques of User Execution (2)	ID: T1204.002
An adversary may rely upon a user opening a malicious file in order to gain execution. Users may	Sub-technique of: T1204
be subjected to social engineering to get them to open a file that will lead to code execution. This	Tactic: Execution
user action will typically be observed as follow-on behavior from Spearphishing Attachment.	Platforms: Linux, Windows, macOS
Adversaries may use several types of files that require a user to execute them, including .doc, .pdf,	Permissions Required: User
xls, .rtf, .scr, .exe, .lnk, .pif, and .cpl.	Data Sources: Anti-virus, Process
Adversaries may employ various forms of Masquerading on the file to increase the likelihood that a	command-line parameters, Process

monitorina



User Execution				
Mitigations				
Mitigation	Description			
Execution Prevention	Application control may be able to prevent the running of executables masquerading as other files.			
Network Intrusion Prevention	If a link is being visited by a user, network intrusion prevention systems and systems designed to scan and remove malicious downloads can be used to block activity.			
Restrict Web- Based Content	If a link is being visited by a user, block unknown or unused files in transit by default that should not be downloaded or by policy from suspicious sites as a best practice to prevent some vectors, such as .scr, .exe, .pif, .cpl, etc. Some download scanning devices can open and analyze compressed and encrypted formats, such as zip and rar that may be used to conceal malicious files.			
User Training	Use user training as a way to bring awareness to common phishing and spearphishing techniques and how to raise suspicion for potentially malicious events.			



User Execution

Detection

Monitor the execution of and command-line arguments for applications that may be used by an adversary to gain Initial Access that require user interaction. This includes compression applications, such as those for zip files, that can be used to Deobfuscate/Decode Files or Information in payloads.

Anti-virus can potentially detect malicious documents and files that are downloaded and executed on the user's computer. Endpoint sensing or network sensing can potentially detect malicious events once the file is opened (such as a Microsoft Word document or PDF reaching out to the internet or spawning powershell.exe).



User Execution: Malicious Link

References

- 1. Salvio, J.. (2014, June 27). New Banking Malware Uses Network Sniffing for Data Theft. Retrieved March 25, 2019.
- 2. Lee, S. (2019, April 24). Emotet Using WMI to Launch PowerShell Encoded Code. Retrieved May 24, 2019.
- Bermejo, L., et al. (2017, June 22). Following the Trail of BlackTech's Cyber Espionage Campaigns. Retrieved May 5, 2020.
- Tomonaga, S.. (2018, March 6). Malware May 6, 2020.
- 5. hasherezade. (2016, April 11). No money a trojan horse. Retrieved May 21, 2020.
- Hinchliffe, A. and Falcone, R. (2020, May Malware Targeting Government and Milit Asia. Retrieved June 17, 2020.

- 23. Axel F, Pierre T. (2017, October 16). Leviathan: Espionage actor spearphishes maritime and defense targets. Retrieved February 15, 2018.
- 24. The Cylance Threat Research Team. (2017, March 22). El Machete's Malware Attacks Cut Through LATAM. Retrieved September 13, 2019

User Execution: Malicious File

References

- 1. McCabe, A. (2020, January 23). The Fractured Statue Campaign: U.S. Government Agency Targeted in Spear-Phishing Attacks.
- Retrieved June 2, 2020.
- US-CERT. (2018, June 14). MAR-10135536-12 North Korean Trojan: TYPEFRAME. Retrieved July 13, 2018.
- 3. Grunzweig, J.. (2017, April 20). Cardinal RAT Active for Over Two Years. Retrieved December 8, 2018.
- Llimos, N., Pascual, C.. (2019, February 12). Trickbot Adds Remote Application Credential-Grabbing Capabilities to Its Repertoire. Retrieved March 12, 2019.

- 58. Lancaster, T.. (2017, November 14). Muddying the Water: Targeted Attacks in the Middle East. Retrieved March 15, 2018.
- 59. Singh, S. et al.. (2018, March 13). Iranian Threat Group Updates Tactics, Techniques and Procedures in Spear Phishing Campaign. Retrieved April 11, 2018.
- 60. Kaspersky Lab's Global Research & Analysis Team. (2018, October 10). MuddyWater expands operations. Retrieved November 2, 2018.
- 61. Adamitis, D. et al. (2019, May 20). Recent MuddyWater-associated BlackWater campaign shows signs of new anti-detection techniques. Retrieved June 5, 2019.



- User training
- Application control
- Block unknown files in transit
- NIPS
- File detonation systems
- Monitor command-line arguments
 Windows Event Log 4688
 - Sysmon
- Anti-Virus
- Endpoint sensing





Lesson 4.2 Summary

Reviewed the approach for identifying how techniques and sub-techniques are being used and reviewed defensive information sources

Learned how to research the associated defensive options using ATT&CK data sources, detection, mitigations, and references





Lesson 4.3 Researching Organizational Capabilities and Constraints & Determine Trade-offs




Learn how to identify your organizational capabilities and constraints

Lesson 4.3 Objectives

Identify how to tailor trade-offs for your enterprise

Understand how to make customized defensive recommendations



Step 3. Research Organizational Capabilities/Constraints

What data sources, defenses, mitigations are already collected/in place?

Some options may be inexpensive/simple Possibly new analytics on existing sources



What products are already deployed that may have add'l capabilities?

E.g. able to gather new data sources/implement new mitigations

Is there anything about the organization that may preclude responses?

E.g. user constraints/usage patterns



Step 3. Research Organizational Capabilities/Constraints

Notional Capabilities

- Windows Events already collected to SIEM (but not process info)
- Evaluating application control tools
- Highly technical workforce
- Already have an email file detonation appliance
- Already have anti-virus on all endpoints
- Notional Constraints
 - SIEM at close to license limit, increase would be prohibitive
 - Large portion of user population developers, run arbitrary binaries
 - Files in transit usually encrypted passing by NIPS



Step 4. Determine the Option-specific Trade-offs for Your Enterprise

How do each of the identified options fit into your org?

Example Positives

 Leveraging existing strengths/tools/data sources

 Close fit with specific threat

Example Negatives

- Cost not worth risk averted
- Poor cultural fit with organization

Each option is highly dependent on your specific organization



Step 4. Determine the Option-specific Trade-offs for Your Enterprise

Defensive option	Example Pros	Example Cons
Increase user training around clicking on attachments	Covers most common use case, technical workforce likely will make good sensors	Time investment by all users, training fatigue
Enforcement of application control	Already examining control solution, most binaries of concern never seen before	Developer population heavily impacted if prevented from running arbitrary binaries. High support cost.
Monitor command-line arguments/create analytic	Collecting events already, already feeding into a SIEM	Volume of logs from processes likely unacceptable license cost.
Anti-Virus	Already in place	Limited signature coverage
Install endpoint detection and response (EDR) product	Possibly best visibility without greatly increasing log volumes	No existing tool, prohibitively expensive
Email Detonation Appliance	Already in place	May not have full visibility into inbound email





Learned how to identify organizationally unique capabilities and constraints

Lesson 4.3 Summary

Identified how to tailor trade-offs for your enterprise

Reviewed how to make customized defensive recommendations and assessed the associated pros and cons



Lesson 4.4 Make Defensive Recommendations





Learn about the different types of defensive recommendations

Lesson 4.4 Objectives

Review how to prioritize recommendations

Practice making defensive recommendations





Step 5. Make Defensive Recommendations

- Recommendations can be strategic, policy-related, operational, tactical or focused on risk acceptance
- Recommendations can be for management, SOC, IT, or all of the above
- Some potential recommendation types:
 - Technical
 - Collect new data sources
 - Write a detection/analytic from existing data
 - Change a config/engineering changes
 - New tool
 - Policy changes
 - Technical/human
 - Accept risk
 - Some things are undetectable/unmitigable or not worth the tradeoff



Step 5. Make Defensive Recommendations



accept the risk

Step 5. Make Defensive Recommendations (Example)



Exercise 4: Defensive Recommendations

Worksheet in Resources under Exercise 4 "Making Defensive Recommendations Guided Exercise"

Download the worksheet and work through recommendation process

- 0. Determine priority techniques
- 1. Research how techniques are being used
- 2. Research defensive options related to technique
- 3. Research organizational capability/constraints
- 4. Determine what tradeoffs are for org on specific options
- 5. Make recommendations
- Please pause. We suggest giving yourself 15 minutes for this exercise.



Exercise Review

What resources were helpful to you finding defensive options?

- What kind of recommendations did you end up making?
- Did you consider doing nothing or accepting risk?
- Were there any options that were completely inappropriate for you?



Step 0. Determine Priority Techniques



Step 1. Research How Techniques or Sub-techniques Are Being Used

From the Cobalt Kitty Report



Within a Word Macro



Step 2. Research Defensive Options Related to Technique or Sub-technique

Scheduled Task/Job

Sub-techniques (5)

Adversaries may abuse task scheduling functionality to facilitate initial or recurring execution of malicious code. Utilities exist within all major operating systems to schedule programs or scripts to be executed at a specified date and time. A task can also be scheduled on a remote system, provided the proper authentication is met (ex: RPC and file and printer sharing in Windows environments). Scheduling a task on a remote system typically requires being a member of an admin or otherwise privileged group on the remote system.^[1]

Adversaries may use task scheduling to execute programs at system startup or on a scheduled basis for persistence. These mechanisms can also be abused to run a process under the context of a specified account (such as one with elevated permissions/privileges).

ID: T1053

 $\mathbf{\vee}$

Sub-techniques: T1053.001, T1053.002, T1053.003, T1053.004, T1053.005

Tactics: Execution, Persistence, Privilege Escalation

Platforms: Linux, Windows, macOS

Permissions Required: Administrator, SYSTEM, User

Effective Permissions: Administrator, SYSTEM, User

Data Sources: File monitoring, Process command-line parameters, Process monitoring, Windows event logs



Step 2. Research Defensive Options Related to Technique or Sub-technique

Detection

Monitor scheduled task creation from common utilities using command-line invocation. Legitimate scheduled tasks may be created during installation of new software or through system administration functions. Look for changes to tasks that do not correlate with known software, patch cycles, etc.

Suspicious program execution through scheduled tasks may show up as outlier processes that have not been seen before when compared against historical data. Data and events should not be viewed in isolation, but as part of a chain of behavior that could lead to other activities, such as network connections made for Command and Control, learning details about the environment through Discovery, and Lateral Movement.



Step 3. Research Organizational Capabilities/Constraints



For this exercise, assume that you have Windows Event Log Collection going to a SIEM, but no ability to collect process execution logging.



Step 4. Determine the Option-specific Trade-offs for Your Enterprise

Defensive option	Pros	Cons
Monitor scheduled task creation from common utilities using command-line invocation	Would allow us to collect detailed information on how task added.	Organization has no ability to collect process execution logging.
Configure event logging for scheduled task creation and changes	Fits well into existing Windows Event Log collection system, would be simple to implement enterprise wide.	Increases collected log volumes.
Sysinternals Autoruns may also be used	Would collect on other persistence techniques as well. Tool is free.	Not currently installed, would need to be added to all systems along with data collection and analytics of results.
Monitor processes and command-line arguments	Would allow us to collect detailed information on how task added.	Organization has no ability to collect process execution logging.



Step 5. Make Defensive Recommendations

Given the limitations and sources we discussed, potential answers would be similar to:

Potential Option 1 Enable "Microsoft-Windows-TaskScheduler/Operational" setting within the event logging service, and create analytics around Event ID 106 - Scheduled task registered, and Event ID 140 - Scheduled task updated



Use Autoruns to watch for changes that could be attempts at persistence





Examined the different types of defensive recommendations

Lesson 4.4 Summary

Reviewed how to prioritize recommendations and when to accept risk

Practiced making customized defensive recommendations and considered the elements contributing to your individual approach





ATT&CK for CTI



End of Module 4



